App.F.IX-4

1924. (Mysore.)

File No. 294-24

Serial Nos. 5-8

Previous References

Mysore 294-24-1-4
" 622-09-8-15

Later references.

Mysore 2-3-9(1-7)

PRIVATE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for May 1926

SUBJECT

Petition from certain members of the Thigalar community, complaining that Javarappa Gowda should not be designated as Gowda of the community as he is not the real Gowda.

Nos 1352 dated 23.4.26 To the Petitioners

States that this does not amount to a recognition by Govt. of his right to the designation

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

D 65

Petition dt 11-7-25 from certain members of the Thigalar Community complaining with reference to Notifn no. 3/Mug 294-24-4 dt 1-7-25, that Yerrappa Gowda should not be designated as Gowda of the Community, as he is not the real Gowda —

R | In Govt Notifn no. 2302/Mug 672-07-15 dt 12-2-17, appointing Dharmadarsi to [illegible] the affairs of the Sri Bhairavi Temple, Mr. Yerrappa Gowda, one of the [illegible] designated as Patel & Landholder.

✓ In the Notifn no. dt. issued (Mug 3/294-24-4 dt. 1-7-25) the [illegible]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1880—GPB—1 50 000—2 5 21

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc., not intended for permanent record.]

P. f. order and
also as Gowda of the Community,
following the Superintendent's letter.
3 What the petrs contend
now is that their caste is
split up into 2 ~~sections~~ sections
that Nº 1 Yerraffa Gowda is
the Inferior section, and
that there is another person,
who is a hereditary Gowda
of the community for a long
time; that it is therefore,
be anamolous to designate
Yerraffa Gowda, as Gowda
of the Community - they
want him to be designated
as "Pat. & Land holder" only,
as before.
4 Subd for orders with a
draft forwarding the petn
to the Mny: Commr for report

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

Mines 294-24

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

with ref. to the
letter
dy 1.7.25.

Sd
17.7.25

Sd.
17.7.25
5 — Issue
D
17.7.25.

6. To, The Mines Commr.
No. 117 dy 23.7.25
Calls for report on
the above petn.

7. From, The Mines. Comr.
Letter no. C.107 dy 5.3.26.
Submits further
report called for in
the above.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W. D. 1950—C. T. B.—1,50,000—25-4

[illegible]

[illegible] NOTES

[illegible] [Copy to be attached for permanent record]

---

1. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on [illegible]

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 294 of 192 4

Serial No

B

6 The M.C's letter explains the facts of the case.

It is learnt from the M.C's letter that Gowda Ezappa and his ancestors have been recognized as Gowda by the community so far back as 1868 by mutual agreement. The M.C. states that as a matter of fact, the said description cannot confer on the person concerned any right to the description, and that it seems to him that there is no need to take any action on the representation of the petitioner. for orders.

8 He has been styled G.3.26 Gowda in virtue of his hereditary right, but this right does not confer upon him any special privileges in the community. This is a matter in which Govt need not interfere. The M.Cr can maybe simply recorded & the Petn: record returned.

9 As suggested by the M.C, 20/3/26 no further action seems called for. This may be recorded.

10 L.L. Scott 20/3

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
[App. F. III.—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 294 of 1924

Serial No

11 The use of the expression 'Gowda of the Community' against the name of Yerappa Gowda has given rise to bitter feeling among the members of the Community. This appellation is not necessary but used ~~to describe~~ as a term of description -

12 The Govt has no power to adjudicate the question whether he is the Gowda or headman of the Community & there is no need to describe him as such when there is a volume of opposition to the headship of a Community When the right is challenged, it may not be proper to describe him as a headman of the Community

13 The best course is to omit the appellation in the Gazette to avoid all controversy & leave the party to assert his rights in a Civil Court if necessary

14

Note
Petition no. 1087 from 659
The members of the Nigalar Community protesting against the designation of Erappa Gowda as the Gowda of the Community, is forwarded for perusal. 26.3.26 203

15 The papers are not properly arranged [illegible]

[illegible]

Name— Contn. Sheet 28/3

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

[App. F. III.—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 294 of 192 4

Serial No

16

The Member wanted the papers to be properly arranged & submitted. This has been done. The file is resubd. for orders.

17 14/4

P.A. to Member with Compts

14.4.26

14/4

18 The petitioners may be given an Endorsement that as per rules the name mentioned by him does not appear in the Dharwar list & the Dharwar Collector from this date is a recognised agent in his district & his designation.

19

Copy of the Endorsement & the Petitioner's letter may be sent to the Collector of Dharwar with copy of this letter for info

16/4/26

20 I find another petn of 22/3/26 has been received in this office. What is this about? Why has it not been noted on in the note above?

This is only a reminder

15/4 & 16/4

21 Dft. endt. Submitted.

22 Petition dt 22.3.1926 is a Reminder petition from Chikka Hanmi Sanappa and others protesting against the designation of Yerappa Gowda

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

as Gowda of the Community.

23 It is respectfully submitted that reference is made to this petition in para 14 of the office note.

25 Another reminder petition (D/234) wherein the pets pray (21) for a cancellation of the designation of Gerappa Gowda, as Gowda of the Community is placed on the file. Orders are solicited before the ensuing Karaga feast. Mr Secretary. Sir orders.

AR 20/4/26.
20.4.26.

27 Refce:- Member's orders: (paras 18-20 supra).
Draft endorsement is put up for approval.

28 Rev. Secy) 20/4

29 Issue and then submit Memo for perusal 4.30) 20/4 Issued immediately. 21/4

8. To, Muniyappa and others.
Endt no. 1352 dt 28/4/26.
Informs them that as Gerappa Gowda was described by the local officers as Gowda of the community his name was mentioned as such in the

petition was to the Secretary and orders sought for making note of it in the office-note)
20.4.26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 294 of 192 6

Serial No.

first notification appointing him as one of the Dharmadarsis, and that this does not amount to a recognition by Govt of his right to the designation.

By Mr Imaz Comm
No. 1353 dt 23/4/26.
Forwards a copy of the above.

31) Submitted with ref. to the ~~Member's~~ Secretary's order in para 29 of the office-note.

32) Submitted to the First Member
30.4.26. & personal.

30.4.26

853
30.4

33) Seen
1/5/26

Muz 8]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....65.....

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT,

(Muz)

, *the* ..... *192* .

Date of issue .....

Signed by.....

Section.
File No
Serial No.

No.....

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition Registered No 65 dated 11-7-25

..... 192 . received at the ~~Muzrai~~ Rev: Secretariat from Munisamiraju and other members of the Idigalar Community, Bangalore City, protesting against Mr. Byrappa Gowda being designated as Poojari of the community in Notification No. 3/Muz 294-24-4 dt 1-7-25 is forwarded ~~herewith~~ with enclosures, to the Muz: Court in Bangalore for copies and submission of report in the matter.

for.....

By Order,

17.7.25

Secretary to Government

89
17

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್, ...ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ.

ಇಂದಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ

ನಂಬರು.....

. ...

....

....

....

....

....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ... ....

ಮುಕ್ಕುವಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... ....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....ವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order,

Secretary to Government.
Muz Dept

List of enclosures

Copied by..... ....

Examined by..... .. ..

WD0587—GPB—1000—11-8 25

A. P. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Jmz

File No. 294-24

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Seria No. —

No. 1089

c. one

To .....The Jmz Commr.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir,

Date of issue 25/2/26

Signed by Jmz N.

In cntn of this Dte D-m. no. 117-Jmz. 294-24 dd 23-7-1925, I am directed to forward herewith petition no. 951 from Munisamappa and others dd 18-2-1926, protesting against the designation of Yerrappa Gowda as Gowda of the Vigalaru Community in Bangalore and to request that the ~~petitioners~~ the report already

over

Jmz. forwarding the petition in cntn is Submitted

25/2/26

25/2/26

Issued 26/2/26

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

on the subject
may be obtained
from the
Secretary

37230

No. C 607 25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
on file

Dated Bangalore, 5th March 1926.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 6 MAR 1926 MUZRAI

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore. D 1063

GEN. & REV. SECTT. Bg. 6. MAR. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

Muz. 294-24-7

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.117/Muz. 294-24-6 dated 23rd July 1925 forwarding for report petition -- from Munisami Raju and others of Tiglar community of Bangalore City taking objection to Mr. Yerrappa Gowda being designated as Gowda of that community, I have the honor to state that the --- Deputy Commissioner in his letter No.C.3-880-25 dated 6th February 1926 reports that the Amildar Bangalore Taluk has after enquiry found that Gowda Yerrappa and his ancestors have been recognised as Gowda by the community so far back as 1368 by mutual agreement etc., and that the point was not raised in subsequent years, that no evidence has been adduced to refut it and to show that the -- agreement was rendered null and void subsequently and that therefore there is no need to go behind the terms used in the present notification. The Deputy Commissioner states that in this ---- circumstance, the objection of the petitioners is not in order.

The file of papers received with Deputy Commissioner's letter under reference and containing certain exhibits -- produced is herewith submitted.

The description given in the notification was in accordance with the information available, and further, as a matter of fact the said description cannot confer on the person concerned any - right to the designation. Hence, it seems to me that there is

no need to take any action on the representation of the petitioners.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
22MAR1926
MUZRAI
D. 1087

D 1013
22/3.

మిస్సమారు శిథిమేయు మహారాజు సన్నిధానమున్కు
సిక్రటరి సర్దేశరవడ్డ జాంబ్రి.

భీంనళ్ళూరు సెటిల్‌మెంటు ఆఫీసరుగారి ఆజ్ఞప్రకారము
ఈ క్రియు (కిసళ్ళరు) కులస్థులు ఈ దిగువ వివరించిన విషయములు
దీంపుడుకు ఇజ్ఞ అయినది.-

ఈ కిసమ్మరాయుడ్డాపి మీద్ద ఇచ్చిన దావాలో ఇరువురు
సాగరవన్నెకిసళ్ళరు కులస్థులకు సాగరవ్వు వారసులని నిర్ణయించి ఆదేశము 1-1-1926వ
మునకు 3/294-24 నెంబరు ఇచ్చిన తీర్పు ప్రకారము సాగరవ్వ
స్వాధీనము విరుద్ధముగా నిరువురు వ్యక్తులు అనధికారముగా
దుకు 18-2-1926 నాడు కొత్తిమీరపల్లి గ్రామములో
భీంనళ్ళూరు కొల్లిండరంటి దగ్గిర
భూములలో ఇతరులకు నమూనాకు
ము మొదలు పెట్టిన విషయము
కుపంచి వేయించి ఈ

1. ఈ వివాదాలు
కాంజి సాంబ్రాణి
ఇవ్వని సన్నదు నమూనా
వీరికి చెల్లింపు
కొడుకు
ఆ

2. ఈ వివాదము
అంచనా 12-12-1925
అనుబంధం 22
దీనిపై
జస్టరు
పని
ఇవ్వని
కాళ్ళు
సమాధానాలు
ఇవి

43257

REV. SECR.
19 APR 1926
MUZRAI
1234

GEN. BR.
19 APR. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

మై సనూరు శాలమీయ మహారాజానాహేబ్ బహద్దూర్
శవళగెనవరు లంలంచిన్యానేక్ర లింగనాహేబరవళజనానిగే—

అర్జీ—

శ్రీ ధర్మరాయస్వామి వారి వస్థానద ధర్మంద వళగగళ్ళ సంబంధ
రాద యత్రప్పగాడకరింబువ శన్ను "తిరళళకరులల్లిగెదరు" ఎందు 1-7-బసవ
మళ్ళుంబ 3/294-24 నేనంబరు ఇస్తి డార్నేమల్లినమూ దినిరులల్లి తిళిపి పేయ
వళ్ళ ఇనాములను తుకులల్లి గెదనల్లి వంశలూ న్యాయవాగింరులల్లిగెదరాగిద్దే
వళవంశీకరు ఉలాయిదాయిలు శువస్థరింద ఇస్తి డార్నామయల్లన్నే
మూనమూలాగి ఉపయోగిసిశువరులల్లిగెద" ఎంబ శబ్దవె
గళన్ను పేషామూ బిన బోరనేరు తిళి 13-7-బళ్ళ 19-2-26నెయల్లి
22-3-26రల్లినా పుగళ్ళు ఆలంయిదా అర్జీగళు డాంఖేపయిూ విచా
రణ అన్యాయీ యూవిన్నాబీళ్ళుళింనమనే ఎందిరు వదల్లుగాగి
పునఃప్రారంభిసి కోళ్ళువదోనంతే యిూసంవత్సరద "రరగద"
లు సేవ బహళనవిూపవాగిరుల్లి ఆ ళ్ళరుళ్ళగాగి 2-వంద
రవరు దయవిట్టు నేవిమాడువుూలాగి ఇస్తి డార్నామయల్లిన
యుూ కాగిరతెల్లి శ్వేగళన్ను నచ్చి కోఁఅరియంతెల్లి కూడిసివా
యుూ కాగిసి ఆ బగ్గె కూకల్లిన నవియూనిసిరువి విచారసల్లి ళ్ళుం
ఆళ్ళనూకొండిసిశుభ్రకాగి. వినయపూర్వకవాగి ప్రార్థిసుల
దే స్థాయి—

చునాళ్ళిళ్ళేవుణియప్ప
70, శిగళ్ళకవ్వాళ్ళు
పిళిలా గెళ్ళుడ్గ.

19-4-26
పోకళ్ళుళు

చునినాయుడు
యాంగళ్ళనంబు
పుట్టధర్మదనల్లి వసుచేయుచునిన్నేలుకొనెదను
చునినాయుడు
బిళిదేయుచు పాములపర్రుచులు
కాపుగొట్టుచు నారుచులు
చునినాయుడు

APP F VI. (1).

SUBJECT .....

Section Mun 7

File No. 291 - 24

Seria No.

Diary No. of letter replied to...

No. 1352

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To .....

Date of issue 23/4/26

Signed by .....

Endorsement granted To Masal Chikka Munigappa, 70. Jigalarpet. Bangalore, and others, with ref. to their ~~[illegible]~~ petition & protesting against Mr Gowrappa Gowda being designated as Gowda of the Community in Notification no. 3/Mun. 296-24-4 dt 1-7-1925 appointing Dharmadarsi of the Dharmanaya Swamy temple.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD 0502—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

are informed that a Gerappa
Gowda was described by the
local officers as Gowda
of the Community, his name
was mentioned as such
in the final Notification, regd. by
appointing him as one of the
Dharmadarsis of the Dharmarayaswamy Temple
Dharmadarsis, and that this
does not amount to a
recognition of his
right to the designation.

By order.

Asst. Secretary

Memo No 1353 Civil Copy of the above
Comr for information with
ref. to his letter no. C.107
of 5.3.1926.

(2) The records are
herewith returned.

C.O.

Asst. Secretary.



46 33



తి 12.4.26

మేనగుంటలు గ్రామంలోని సర్వేనెంబరు 58లోని శిథిల
సాక్షీలాశాసన మును బాగుచేయుటకు వెచ్చాంచిగోరి—

బేతనాల్లూరు శాఖ శిసువత్సవాల్యేంయుల్లలో
మహాంతి చిన్నయమునియ్యంగారు వారము చేయం
చు అభిప్రాయము.

తే 22-3-26 రల్లి సమిష్టి సాన్నిధ్యమునకై మరల
AV లో ఎవరన్నా సాధారు ధర్మశాలలో సాక్షులు మరల
30/4 సాక్షి సమిష్టి సాన్నిధ్యమున తెలియ
రల్లి వేసిన అభిప్రాయి గ్రామ కోమట్లు సర్వేత్తల అభ్యం
తరాలకు లాగ్రహించిన యవ సంఘ అభ్యంతరం
ఉన్నట్లు ధర్మాలిట్లు ధర్మాలి వారి కొల్లు కోర్టులు
అడ్డుగా ఆగబడినందు ప్రాధేయపడుకొన్నారు. మరల
సాక్షులు—

చుండూరి బ్రహ్మయ్య వెంకట్రావు
70 తెగ్గ శర్మ గ్రామం
బాపట్ల తాలూకా

బేతనాల్లూరు గ్రామస్థులు
తే 30.4.26.

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)